

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/516,428	03/01/2000	Kevin D. Satterfield	ODS-10	3649
75563 ROPES & GR	7590 08/11/2008 AYIIP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DO	CKETING 39/361		ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K	
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-8704		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/516,428	SATTERFIELD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AKIBA K. ROBINSON BOYCE	3628	

	AKIBA K. ROBINSON BOYCE	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever in one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1s checked, check either box (a) or (b). NIV, CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHOUT ACTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CPR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL		er 1 2011 1 10					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment (	FTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17,19-50 and 52-67.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/Akiba K Robinson-Boy						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3628					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that although Graves' proxy playing machine may make some strategic decisions on behalf of the player while the machine is playing a game, the player has to manually seek out an opportunity to play the game. Applicant argues that prior art fails to automatically provide a notification to the user in response to determining that a desired a wagering opportunity exists or a selected horse is about to run in a reflected horse; as facility and the rejection, col. 4, lines 17-42; col. 4, line 55 col. 5, line 21; col. 6, line 58 - col. 7, line 4 describes that when a client requests that he wants to purchase a chance, information is accumulated into a Player Preference File, and such as the control of the value for client making wagers. These passages also shows deciding if results of game correlate with recorded cards, and that the proxy machine makes decisions on how to wager based on information in Player Preference File, and automatic wagering takes place. Most importantly, in this case, the notification is represented by automatic wagering is nice when this occurs, the user knows that the wagering opportunity exists is mice wagering opportunities are existence.